



Questionnaire on quality of life with chronic wounds

User Manual

Date: May 2020

1. Description and use

The Wound-QoL measures the disease-specific, health-related quality of life of patients with chronic wounds. It consists of 17 items on impairments, which are assessed in retrospect to the preceding seven days.

The Wound-QoL can be used in clinical and observational studies and in daily practice.

2. Development

The Wound-QoL was developed on the basis of three validated instruments assessing HRQoL in chronic wounds: the Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds (FLQA-w, Augustin et al. 2010), the Cardiff Wound Impact Schedule (CWIS, Price et al. 2004), and the Würzburg Wound Score (WWS, Spech 2003; Engelhardt et al. 2014).

These three questionnaires were filled in by 165 leg ulcer patients in a prospective study under routine care. For implementation in the Wound-QoL those of all 92 items were selected that showed the best psychometric properties and that were not redundant in content. Item and instruction wording of the Wound-QoL were harmonized and improved by an expert panel.

Wound-QoL subscales have been determined with factor analysis.

3. Languages

Validated translations of the original, German version of the Wound-QoL have been performed as follows:

1. independent translations by 2 native speakers
2. independent back-translations by 2 native speakers
3. tabulation of all translations (sentence by sentence) with listing of all differences between translations and differences between back translations and original
4. translators' and methodologists'/authors' conference (sentence by sentence) to find a consensus on the final translation
5. proof reading of the final questionnaire by a native speaker.

To date, validated translations of the Wound-QoL have been performed for:

- Arabic (Israel)
- Croatian (Croatia)
- Czech (Czechia)

- Danish (Denmark)
- Dutch (Netherlands)
- English (Canada)
- English (UK)
- English (US)
- French (France)
- German (Germany and Austria) [original version]
- German (Switzerland)
- Hebrew (Israel)
- Hungarian (Hungary)
- Italian (Italy)
- Latvian (Latvia)
- Lithuanian (Lithuania)
- Polish (Poland)
- Portuguese (Portugal)
- Portuguese (Brasil)
- Russian (Russia)
- Slovakian (Slovakia)
- Spanish (Spain)
- Spanish (Central America)
- Standard Chinese (China)
- Swedish (Sweden)
- Turkish (Turkey)
- Ukrainian (Ukraine)

4. Instructions

The Wound-QoL is filled in by the patients themselves. The questionnaire is self-explanatory; yet, patients can be supported if they are not able to fill it in by themselves. In this case, the support has to be documented.

5. Data entry

For statistical analyses, the data are entered into a spread sheet (e.g. Excel) or statistics program (e.g. SPSS). The spread sheet matrix must be structured as follows: Each row corresponds with one patient and each column corresponds with one variable (=item).

6. Data analysis

If more than one box is ticked within an item or if a patient has ticked between two checkboxes, the item is treated as missing.

Answers to each item are coded with numbers (0='not at all' to 4='very much').

A Wound-QoL **global score** on overall disease-specific quality of life is computed by averaging all items. A global score can only be computed if at least 75% of the items have been answered (i.e., at least 13 in 17 items are valid).

In addition, **subscales** of the Wound-QoL can be calculated representing different dimensions of disease-specific quality of life by averaging the respective items. A subscale can only be computed if no more than 1 item of the subscale is missing. The items are assigned to subscales as follows:

1. Subscale 'Body': Items #1 to #5
2. Subscale 'Psyche': Items #6 to #10
3. Subscale 'Everyday life': Items #11 to #16

Item #17 does not belong to either of the subscales.

7. Psychometric properties of the Wound-QoL

The Wound-QoL has been tested for internal consistency, convergent validity regarding four generic HRQoL measures such as the EQ-5D, and responsiveness in a so-called virtual validation using the longitudinal study data on the three questionnaires FLQA-w, CWIS and WWS (Blome et al. 2014). A further validation has been conducted in a cross-sectional study (Augustin et al. 2014).

In a prospective validation study (Augustin et al. 2017), patients completed the Wound-QoL and two other QoL questionnaires (European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions, EQ-5D, and Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds, FLQA-wk) at baseline and at two more time points (4 and 8 weeks). Wound status was assessed with an anchor question. 227 patients (48.5% women) participated in the study. Mean age was 66.9 years (range 17–96, median 69.5). Indications were venous leg ulcers (40.1%), pyoderma gangraenosum (14.1%), diabetic or ischemic foot ulcers (5.3%), pressure ulcers (2.6%), and other etiologies (30.0%). The Wound-QoL showed good internal consistency, with high Cronbach's alpha in all the subscales and in the global scale on all time points (>0.8). Convergent validity was indicated by moderate-to-high correlations with the EQ-5D (range 0.5–0.7, $p < 0.001$) and FLQA-wk global score ($r > 0.8$, $p < 0.001$) at every time point. Responsiveness was high, too.

In a study on the test–retest reliability of the Wound-QoL (Sommer et al. 2017), patients were asked to complete the Wound-QoL twice within 3–7 days. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) ranged 0.79 and 0.86, which can be considered evidence of excellent reliability. Another indicator of very good reliability was high internal consistency of both global score (0.92) and subscale scores (body: 0.91; psyche: 0.88; everyday life: 0.90).

8. The Wound-Act Implementation Tool

In order to identify areas of need for action, a panel of wound specialists and patients developed a one-page implementation tool called Wound-Act. The Wound-Act is a decision aid for taking further action once quality of life problems at the level of single items are identified with the Wound-QoL. Within the Wound-Act, each Wound-QoL item answered with "quite a lot" or "very much" by the patient is regarded an important area of need for action.

9. Contact and license information

PD Dr. Christine Blome, c.blome@uke.de

Licence holder: Prof. Dr. med. Matthias Augustin

German Center for Health Services Research in Dermatology (CVderm)

Director, Institute for Health Services Research in Dermatology and Nursing (IVDP)

University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

Martinistr. 52, 20246 Hamburg, Tel. *49-40-7410-55428, Fax -55348

cvderm@derma.de, www.cvderm.de

9. Literature

Augustin M, Baade K, Herberger K, Protz K, Goepel L, Wild T, Blome C. (2014). Use of the WoundQoL instrument in routine practice: feasibility, validity and development of an implementation tool. *Wound Medicine* 5:4-8

Augustin M, Conde Montero E, Zander N, Baade K, Herberger K, Debus S, Diener H, Neubert T, Blome C (2017). Validity and feasibility of the Wound-QoL questionnaire on health-related quality of life in chronic wounds. *Wound Repair Regen* 25(5):852-7

Blome C, Baade K, Sebastian Debus E, Price P and Augustin M (2014). The "Wound-QoL": A short questionnaire measuring quality of life in patients with chronic wounds based on three established disease-specific instruments. *Wound Repair Regen* 22:504–14

Deufert D, Graml R, Matteucci Gothe R (2016). Überprüfung der Test-Retest-Reliabilität des „Wound-QoL“ – Ein Instrument zur Erfassung der krankheitsspezifischen, gesundheitsbezogenen Lebensqualität von Menschen mit chronischen Wunden. *Pflegewissenschaft* 9-10:484-90

Fagerdahl AM, Bergström G (2018). Translation and Validation of a Wound-specific, Quality-of-life Instrument (The Wound-QoL) in a Swedish Population. *Ostomy Wound Management* 64(5):40-6.

Gamus A, Kaufman H, Keren E, Brandin G, Peles D, Chodick G (2018). Validation of "Wound QoL" Hebrew version disease-specific questionnaire for patients with lower extremity ulcerations. *Int Wound J* 15(4):600-604.

Procházková & Pokorná (2017). Česká verze dotazníku Wound-QoL [Czech version of the questionnaire Wound-QoL], *Dermatol Praxi* 11(3):126–30

Sommer R, Augustin M, Hampel-Kalthoff C and Blome C (2017). The Wound-QoL questionnaire on quality of life in chronic wounds is highly reliable. *Wound Rep and Reg* 25:730–32

Sommer R, Hampel-Kalthoff C, Kalthoff B, Neht C, Scherfer E, Winkler M, Blome C (2018). Differences between Patient- and Proxy-reported HRQoL Using the Wound-QoL. *Wound Repair Regen* 26(3):293-6